

- **Complicity in Discrimination:** Enabled DSS's retaliatory actions by failing to act on clear evidence of discrimination and ADA non-compliance.

3. Connecticut Attorney General's Office:

- **Neglect of Oversight Responsibilities:** Failed to address or intervene in systemic violations by DSS and CHRO, despite receiving substantial evidence of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional rights breaches.
- **Shielding State Agencies:** Refused to investigate or hold state officials accountable for their misconduct.

Federal-Level Actions

4. Department of Justice (DOJ):

- **Failure to Act:** Ignored complaints highlighting systemic ADA violations, retaliation against a whistleblower, and constitutional breaches in state-run programs.
- **Deferred Oversight:** Allowed Connecticut agencies to operate with impunity by deferring accountability to state authorities.

5. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS):

- **Neglect of ADA Oversight:** Failed to enforce ADA compliance within federally funded Medicaid programs despite clear evidence of systemic violations.
- **Lack of Enforcement by OCR:** The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) failed to investigate systemic discrimination within Medicaid programs and the actions of DSS.



6. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS):

- **Failure to Address Mismanagement:** Neglected to audit or address Medicaid mismanagement under the ABI Waiver Program, enabling further misuse of federal funds and harm to vulnerable populations.
- **Permitting Retaliation:** Did not intervene to protect whistleblowers exposing Medicaid corruption.

Key Themes of Abuse of Authority:

- **Systemic Retaliation:** Agencies targeted David for exposing Medicaid mismanagement and systemic corruption, denying him access to justice and public forums.
- **Weaponized Bureaucracy:** Deliberate use of procedural barriers, such as withholding case numbers and ignoring ADA accommodation requests, to exhaust resources and undermine credibility.
- **Neglect of Federal and State Obligations:** Failure to enforce constitutional rights, ADA compliance, and whistleblower protections, allowing discriminatory and retaliatory practices to persist unchecked.

Timeline of Occurrence: Abuse of Authority

Key Dates and Events

1. October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint:

- David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to CHRO detailing ADA violations and systemic retaliation by DSS.
- CHRO failed to assign a case number or acknowledge the complaint.



2. October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO:

- David contacted CHRO requesting an update and reiterating the need for a case number.
- No substantive response or acknowledgment was provided.

3. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General:

- Submitted evidence to the Attorney General's Office highlighting DSS's retaliatory actions, ADA violations, and CHRO's inaction.
- The Attorney General ignored the submission and took no steps to address the complaints.

4. November 10, 2024 – Escalation to Federal Agencies:

- Detailed complaints submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Office for Civil Rights (OCR), citing whistleblower retaliation, ADA non-compliance, and constitutional violations.
- No substantive response or enforcement action was taken.

5. November 28, 2024 – Current Status:

- CHRO continues to refuse to assign a case number or act on the complaint.
- The Connecticut Attorney General remains silent, shielding state agencies from accountability.
- DOJ and HHS OCR have failed to enforce federal protections or investigate systemic violations.



Ongoing Nature of Abuse:

- **Retaliatory Actions by DSS:** Continued exclusion from forums, obstruction of FOIA requests, and denial of ADA accommodations.
- **Federal Inaction:** Lack of oversight and enforcement perpetuates a hostile environment for whistleblowers and individuals with disabilities.

How This Action Was Discovered

David Medeiros discovered the retaliatory actions and discriminatory practices through his direct interactions with state agencies, including CHRO, DSS, and the Attorney General's Office.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



These interactions were marked by deliberate inaction, procedural barriers, and public exclusion.

Key discoveries include:

1. Retaliatory Actions at Public Forums:

- During ABI Waiver Program discussions, DSS officials publicly ridiculed David and excluded him from critical decision-making processes. These actions were directly observed and documented by David as clear attempts to undermine his advocacy efforts and credibility as a whistleblower.

2. Failure to Respond to ADA Accommodation Requests:

- Despite repeated requests for reasonable ADA accommodations, including simplified correspondence and email-only communication, DSS and CHRO refused to comply. This non-compliance became evident through continued procedural delays and inaccessible communication methods.

3. CHRO's Refusal to Acknowledge Complaint:

- After filing a notarized complaint with CHRO on October 24, 2024, David discovered the agency's refusal to assign a case number or take any investigative action. Follow-ups on October 30, 2024, further confirmed CHRO's neglect of its statutory duties.

4. Attorney General's Silence:

- Evidence submitted to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office on November 1, 2024, was met with complete inaction. David discovered the lack of



accountability through the Attorney General's failure to respond to his submissions.

5. Federal Inaction by DOJ and HHS OCR:

- Complaints escalated to the DOJ and HHS OCR on November 10, 2024, provided detailed documentation of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic misconduct. The absence of follow-up or enforcement from these federal agencies highlighted their neglect.

6. Obstructed FOIA Requests:

- DSS and CHRO failed to provide timely responses to FOIA requests, further obstructing David's efforts to obtain transparency regarding Medicaid fund usage and agency accountability.

Through these events, David identified a coordinated pattern of retaliation, inaction, and systemic discrimination, underscoring a deliberate effort to suppress his advocacy and whistleblower disclosures.



Additional Facts Supporting the Allegation of Abuse of Authority

1. Documented Retaliation and Public Exclusion:

- **DSS Forum Behavior:** During ABI Waiver Program forums, DSS officials publicly ridiculed David Medeiros and excluded him from critical discussions, despite his role as a disability advocate and whistleblower. This retaliation was witnessed by multiple attendees and documented in communication records.
- **Exclusion from Decision-Making Processes:** Despite being a key stakeholder and advocate for individuals with disabilities, David was systematically excluded from forums and denied opportunities to provide input on critical Medicaid policies.

2. Failure to Investigate by CHRO:

- Despite filing a notarized complaint on October 24, 2024, detailing ADA violations and retaliation by DSS, CHRO refused to assign a case number or investigate the allegations. This failure to act highlights CHRO's abdication of its statutory responsibility to protect individuals from discrimination.

3. Attorney General's Refusal to Act:

- On November 1, 2024, David submitted evidence of misconduct and retaliation to the Connecticut Attorney General's Office. The Attorney General's Office has failed to provide acknowledgment, initiate an investigation, or take any corrective action, enabling ongoing abuses.

4. Pattern of ADA Non-Compliance:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Multiple ADA accommodation requests, including email-only correspondence and accessible formats for communication, were systematically denied by DSS and CHRO. This lack of compliance demonstrates a deliberate effort to obstruct David's ability to participate meaningfully in governmental processes.

5. FOIA Obstruction:

- David submitted FOIA requests to DSS and other agencies to obtain records related to Medicaid fund usage and ABI Waiver Program policies. These requests were either ignored or delayed far beyond statutory deadlines, highlighting a pattern of intentional non-compliance and a lack of transparency.

6. Federal Inaction and Neglect:

- Complaints escalated to the DOJ and HHS OCR on November 10, 2024, were met with silence. Despite being provided with comprehensive evidence of systemic ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and misuse of federal funds, federal agencies failed to investigate or enforce compliance.

7. Exploitation of Disability:

- Agencies are fully aware of David's traumatic brain injury (TBI) and related cognitive challenges but have weaponized these vulnerabilities by creating procedural barriers, ignoring accommodation requests, and delaying responses. This exploitation underscores the systemic nature of the abuse.

8. Medicaid Mismanagement Concerns:



- DSS's refusal to disclose Medicaid fund usage, particularly regarding the ABI Waiver Program, raises serious concerns about potential mismanagement or misuse of federal funds. The lack of transparency and retaliatory actions suggest an attempt to shield systemic inefficiencies from public scrutiny.

9. Violation of Whistleblower Protections:

- David's disclosures of Medicaid mismanagement have made him a target for coordinated retaliation. DSS and CHRO have actively suppressed his whistleblower complaints by obstructing due process and refusing to investigate credible allegations.

10. Pattern of Systemic Failures:

- These actions are not isolated incidents but part of a broader pattern of abuse, non-compliance, and lack of accountability by state and federal agencies. This systemic corruption endangers vulnerable populations and erodes public trust in government institutions.

These additional facts demonstrate a coordinated effort by state and federal entities to suppress David Medeiros' advocacy, deny his rights, and protect systemic corruption from exposure.

Danger to Public Health

1. Who Took the Action?

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):** Failed to ensure proper oversight and administration of Medicaid funds and services, particularly related to the ABI Waiver Program.
- **Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):** Neglected its duty to address ADA violations, creating systemic barriers for individuals with disabilities, particularly those reliant on public health services.
- **Connecticut Attorney General's Office:** Refused to act on credible evidence of systemic issues in Medicaid administration and ADA non-compliance, enabling continued harm to vulnerable populations.
- **Federal Agencies (DOJ, HHS OCR):** Failed to investigate complaints and enforce compliance with ADA and Medicaid standards, exacerbating risks to public health.

2. What Action Did They Take?

- **Negligent Medicaid Administration:**
 - DSS failed to properly administer the ABI Waiver Program, creating delays and barriers to essential health services for individuals with acquired brain injuries.
 - Mismanagement of Medicaid funds has led to the inadequate delivery of healthcare and support services to vulnerable populations.
- **Systemic ADA Non-Compliance:**



- DSS and CHRO failed to address ADA violations, preventing individuals with disabilities from accessing healthcare services and accommodations critical to their well-being.
- **Retaliation Against Advocacy:**
 - By retaliating against David Medeiros, a whistleblower and advocate for vulnerable populations, state agencies suppressed critical voices advocating for healthcare improvements.
- **Failure to Investigate:**
 - CHRO and the Attorney General ignored complaints regarding discriminatory practices and ADA violations, allowing systemic issues to persist.
- **Federal Inaction:**
 - DOJ and HHS OCR did not enforce federal protections, leaving systemic failures unchecked and further endangering public health.

3. When Did This Occur?

- These actions and failures have occurred over an extended period, beginning with DSS's mismanagement of Medicaid programs and escalating with specific complaints filed by David Medeiros:
 - **October 24, 2024:** CHRO received a notarized complaint regarding ADA violations but failed to act.



- **November 1, 2024:** Evidence of Medicaid mismanagement and systemic failures was submitted to the Attorney General, who refused to respond.
- **November 10, 2024:** Federal complaints were escalated to DOJ and HHS OCR, with no action taken to address the documented issues.

4. How Did You Discover This Action?

- **Firsthand Advocacy Experience:**

- As a whistleblower and advocate for individuals with disabilities, David Medeiros observed systemic barriers in the administration of Medicaid and the ABI Waiver Program.

- **Direct Retaliation:**

- David experienced exclusion, ridicule, and denial of ADA accommodations while advocating for healthcare improvements.

- **Documentation of Complaints:**

- Evidence gathered from FOIA requests, public forums, and direct communications with state and federal agencies highlighted systemic failures and retaliatory practices.

- **Community Testimonies:**

- Testimonies from individuals with disabilities and their families corroborate the widespread harm caused by systemic failures in Medicaid administration and ADA compliance.



5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

- **Systemic Barriers to Healthcare Access:**

- DSS's mismanagement of Medicaid funds has resulted in delays and denials of critical healthcare services for individuals with acquired brain injuries and other disabilities.
- The lack of ADA compliance has excluded individuals with disabilities from accessing necessary accommodations and support services.

- **Increased Health Risks:**

- Delayed or inadequate healthcare services for Medicaid beneficiaries, particularly those with brain injuries, have led to worsening health outcomes and increased dependency on emergency care.

- **Impact on Vulnerable Populations:**

- Vulnerable groups, including individuals with disabilities and low-income families, are disproportionately affected by these systemic failures, resulting in avoidable health crises.

- **Retaliation Against Advocacy:**

- Retaliatory actions against David Medeiros have silenced advocacy efforts aimed at addressing these systemic health risks.

- **Lack of Oversight:**



- Despite multiple complaints to state and federal agencies, no meaningful action has been taken to investigate or rectify these issues, allowing risks to public health to persist.
- **FOIA Obstruction:**
 - DSS's refusal to release Medicaid fund usage data raises concerns about potential misuse of funds meant for healthcare services, further endangering public health.



Connecticut State Agencies and Officials

1. Manisha Juthani, MD

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH)
- **Role:** Oversees public health policies and programs, potentially involved in Medicaid oversight and ADA compliance within healthcare systems.

2. Deidre S. Gifford, MD, MPH

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)
- **Role:** Responsible for Medicaid administration and ABI Waiver Program oversight.

3. William Tong

- **Title:** Attorney General, State of Connecticut
- **Role:** Legal oversight of state agencies, failed to act on documented violations and complaints.

4. Tanya Hughes

- **Title:** Executive Director, Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)
- **Role:** Leads investigations into discrimination and ADA violations, failed to assign a case number or conduct investigations.

5. Joette Katz

- **Title:** Former Commissioner, Connecticut DSS (if applicable to timeline)



- **Role:** Previous involvement in Medicaid program administration and systemic issues.

6. Amy Porter

- **Title:** Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Aging and Disability Services (ADS)
- **Role:** Responsible for ensuring ADA compliance and services for individuals with disabilities.

Federal Oversight Agencies and Officials

1. Xavier Becerra

- **Title:** Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- **Role:** Leads federal oversight of Medicaid programs and enforcement of ADA compliance.

2. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

- **Title:** Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
- **Role:** Responsible for the administration and oversight of Medicaid programs at the federal level.

3. Kristen Clarke

- **Title:** Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)



- **Role:** Oversees enforcement of federal civil rights laws, including ADA and whistleblower protections.

4. **Robyn M. Colosimo**

- **Title:** Acting Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)
- **Role:** Investigates whistleblower retaliation and gross mismanagement in federal and state agencies.

Legislative Contacts for Federal Oversight

1. **Richard Blumenthal**

- **Title:** U.S. Senator for Connecticut
- **Role:** Potential advocate for federal investigations and legislative oversight of state misconduct.

2. **Chris Murphy**

- **Title:** U.S. Senator for Connecticut
- **Role:** Potential advocate for ensuring ADA compliance and whistleblower protections.

3. **Rosa DeLauro**

- **Title:** U.S. Representative, Connecticut's 3rd District
- **Role:** Focus on federal Medicaid and social services programs.



Actions Taken by the Individuals and Agencies

1. Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH)

Action:

- Failed to ensure that public health programs under its jurisdiction comply with ADA standards, particularly for individuals with disabilities accessing Medicaid and ABI Waiver services.
- Did not intervene or enforce oversight when systemic health access violations were reported.

2. Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)

Action:

- Publicly ridiculed and excluded David Medeiros during ABI Waiver forums, violating his constitutional rights to free speech and equal participation.
- Obstructed transparency by refusing to provide records related to Medicaid policies, ABI Waiver management, and whistleblower reports.
- Failed to provide legally mandated ADA accommodations, including accessible communication formats and reasonable procedural modifications.
- Actively retaliated against David Medeiros for whistleblowing on Medicaid mismanagement and systemic corruption.

3. Connecticut Attorney General's Office

Action:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Ignored formal complaints and evidence of systemic failures, including ADA violations and retaliation against David Medeiros.
- Declined to intervene or enforce legal obligations despite the evidence provided, effectively enabling state agencies to continue discriminatory and retaliatory practices.

4. **Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)**

Action:

- Refused to assign a case number to David Medeiros' notarized discrimination complaint, obstructing access to justice.
- Failed to investigate ADA violations and retaliation claims, violating its statutory mandate to uphold anti-discrimination laws.
- Ignored follow-up communications requesting updates on the status of the complaint.

5. **U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR)**

Action:

- Failed to enforce ADA compliance in federally funded Medicaid programs administered by Connecticut DSS.
- Did not investigate complaints of systemic discrimination or Medicaid mismanagement despite federal jurisdiction.



6. U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division

Action:

- Neglected to act on formal complaints of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional rights infringements, despite its federal enforcement responsibilities.
- Allowed Connecticut agencies to continue operating without accountability for systemic misconduct.

7. Federal Elected Officials (Senators and Representatives)

Action:

- Did not advocate for or initiate federal oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid program or address the systemic discrimination and retaliation against whistleblowers.

Summary of Retaliatory and Obstructive Actions

- Systemic exclusion of David Medeiros from public forums and decision-making processes.
- Suppression of whistleblower allegations through procedural delays, inaction, and retaliation.
- Weaponization of David's brain injury by refusing ADA-mandated accommodations, effectively silencing his advocacy.



- Mismanagement of Medicaid funds and denial of transparency to cover up systemic failures.

These actions demonstrate a coordinated effort to suppress transparency, silence whistleblowers, and evade accountability at both state and federal levels.



Timeline of Events

1. October 24, 2024 – Filing of CHRO Complaint

- David Medeiros submitted a notarized complaint to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) regarding ADA violations, discrimination, and retaliation by DSS.

2. October 30, 2024 – Follow-Up with CHRO

- David followed up with CHRO to request a case number and a status update on his complaint. CHRO failed to respond or provide the requested case number.

3. November 1, 2024 – Appeal to Connecticut Attorney General's Office

- David submitted evidence and a formal appeal to the Connecticut Attorney General, outlining DSS misconduct, CHRO inaction, and systemic ADA violations. The Attorney General ignored this submission.

4. November 10, 2024 – Complaint Escalation to Federal Agencies

- David submitted formal complaints to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Civil Rights Division and the Office for Civil Rights (OCR) under the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). These complaints detailed ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and constitutional rights infringements.

5. November 28, 2024 – Current Status

- CHRO: Refuses to act on David's complaint or assign a case number.
- Attorney General: Ignored all appeals and evidence.



- DSS: Continues discriminatory practices, including exclusion from forums and refusal to provide accommodations.
- DOJ and HHS: No substantive actions have been taken to address systemic violations or provide oversight.

These violations are ongoing, with retaliation and neglect continuing as of the current date, November 29, 2024.



How Did You Discover This Action?

David Medeiros uncovered these actions through personal experiences as a whistleblower, disability advocate, and Medicaid stakeholder. Key discoveries include:

1. Direct Personal Experience:

- While engaging with the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) as a whistleblower and advocate for the ABI Waiver Program, David directly experienced public ridicule, exclusion from forums, and systemic retaliation.

2. Documented Evidence:

- David submitted formal complaints to the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) and other agencies, only to receive no substantive responses or assigned case numbers, highlighting systemic failures.

3. Procedural Barriers:

- Through attempts to obtain information via the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and ADA accommodation requests, David encountered procedural delays, denials, and refusals to provide accessible formats.

4. Patterns of Neglect and Retaliation:

- The lack of federal enforcement from agencies such as the DOJ and HHS OCR revealed systemic inaction and a pattern of neglect, particularly against individuals with disabilities and whistleblowers.

5. Community Feedback and Advocacy Efforts:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- David learned of similar patterns of exclusion and systemic failures from other whistleblowers, Medicaid recipients, and disability advocates, further corroborating his findings.

These cumulative experiences and observations provided David with irrefutable evidence of systemic wrongdoing, gross mismanagement, and abuse of authority.



What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

1. Documented Complaints and Correspondence:

- Multiple formal complaints were submitted to the **Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO)**, **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS)**, **Office of the Connecticut Attorney General**, and federal agencies like the **Department of Justice (DOJ)** and **Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights (HHS OCR)**. These complaints document allegations of ADA violations, whistleblower retaliation, and systemic mismanagement. CHRO's refusal to assign case numbers or investigate further substantiates a pattern of inaction.

2. ADA Violations and Procedural Barriers:

- Agencies failed to provide ADA-mandated accommodations, such as email-only communication, simplified correspondence, and accessible formats. These violations demonstrate a deliberate disregard for federal disability rights laws, including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Rehabilitation Act (Section 504).

3. Retaliatory Actions:

- **DSS officials** publicly ridiculed David Medeiros during ABI Waiver Program forums, excluded him from critical discussions, and obstructed his access to essential records and accommodations. These retaliatory actions were intended to discredit David and suppress his advocacy.



4. FOIA and Transparency Failures:

- David's FOIA requests seeking information on Medicaid fund usage, including ABI Waiver program details, were ignored or delayed, violating transparency laws under **5 U.S.C. § 552** and **Connecticut FOIA statutes (C.G.S. § 1-200 et seq.)**.

5. Testimonies and Community Evidence:

- Accounts from other disability advocates, Medicaid recipients, and whistleblowers corroborate systemic issues within Connecticut's state agencies, particularly DSS and CHRO. Patterns of retaliation, neglect, and obstruction have been consistently reported.

6. Systemic Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds:

- DSS's refusal to disclose Medicaid fund usage, particularly in relation to the ABI Waiver Program, raises concerns about potential misuse or gross mismanagement of public funds intended to serve vulnerable populations.

7. Federal Inaction and Knowledge of Disability:

- Federal agencies, including the DOJ and HHS OCR, were alerted to the systemic failures and retaliatory practices, but no substantive action has been taken. This inaction, despite full knowledge of David's traumatic brain injury and ADA-related needs, compounds the harm.

8. Impact on Public Health and Safety:



- Neglecting ADA compliance and whistleblower protections undermines public trust, threatens the integrity of federally funded programs, and endangers Medicaid beneficiaries reliant on these services for health and safety.

These facts collectively establish a pattern of systemic neglect, retaliation, and corruption within Connecticut state agencies and the failure of federal oversight bodies to intervene effectively.

1. Gross Waste of Funds

1. Who Took the Action?

- **Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):**
 - Leadership and administrative officials responsible for Medicaid fund oversight, particularly in the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program.



- **Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):**
 - Failed to ensure compliance with anti-discrimination laws, contributing to ineffective use of resources allocated for investigations and civil rights enforcement.
- **Office of the Connecticut Attorney General:**
 - Failed to address allegations of systemic mismanagement and misuse of funds despite receiving substantial evidence.

2. What Action Did They Take?

- **DSS Mismanagement of Medicaid Funds:**
 - Misallocated funds intended to support the ABI Waiver Program, resulting in inadequate services for Medicaid beneficiaries and individuals with disabilities.
 - Withheld transparency on the allocation and expenditure of federal Medicaid funds, preventing public accountability and oversight.
- **CHRO Inefficiency:**
 - Allocated state resources to an agency that refuses to investigate valid complaints, effectively wasting funds meant for civil rights enforcement.
- **Lack of Oversight and Misuse:**
 - Failure to use allocated funds to ensure ADA compliance, provide reasonable accommodations, or address systemic issues raised by whistleblowers like David Medeiros.



3. When Did This Occur?

- **Ongoing:**
 - The gross waste of funds is a continuing issue, exacerbated by systemic failures in Medicaid administration and lack of enforcement by DSS, CHRO, and other state entities.

4. How Did You Discover This Action?

- Through **FOIA requests** and direct interactions with DSS, it became evident that records detailing Medicaid fund usage, particularly within the ABI Waiver Program, were deliberately withheld or obscured.
- Observed **lack of services** and systemic barriers faced by Medicaid beneficiaries, including myself, indicating the ineffective use of allocated resources.
- Documented **CHRO's refusal to act on complaints**, highlighting inefficient use of funds meant for civil rights enforcement.

5. What Additional Facts Support Your Allegation?

- **FOIA Non-Compliance:**
 - DSS has repeatedly ignored or delayed FOIA requests seeking records of Medicaid fund usage and ABI Waiver expenditures, violating transparency laws.
- **Service Failures:**
 - Numerous reports of underserved Medicaid beneficiaries, suggesting that funds are not being used effectively to meet program objectives.



- **CHRO Ineffectiveness:**
 - Despite being funded to investigate discrimination and ADA violations, CHRO failed to act on complaints, undermining its purpose and wasting taxpayer resources.
- **Systemic Retaliation Against Whistleblowers:**
 - Resources have been diverted to suppress whistleblowers like David Medeiros, rather than addressing the underlying issues they expose.
- **Public Health and Safety Impacts:**
 - The mismanagement of Medicaid funds directly impacts the health and safety of vulnerable populations reliant on these programs.
- **Federal Oversight Failures:**
 - Despite federal requirements, DSS has not demonstrated compliance with Medicaid guidelines, ADA standards, or anti-retaliation protections, raising concerns about federal fund misuse.

Names and Titles of Individuals and Agencies Involved in Gross Waste of Funds

Allegations

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS

Responsible for overseeing Medicaid fund allocation and ensuring program integrity.

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS

Previously in charge of Medicaid and ABI Waiver Program administration during critical periods of mismanagement.

Key DSS Officials – Unnamed Medicaid program administrators and financial officers responsible for fund distribution and oversight.

Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO):

Tanya Hughes – Executive Director, CHRO

Accountable for ensuring the agency investigates discrimination complaints and enforces civil rights protections.

Human Rights Commissioners (CHRO Board):

Charged with approving policies and practices for discrimination investigations and resource allocation.

Connecticut Attorney General's Office:

William Tong – Attorney General, Connecticut

Failed to take appropriate action to investigate and address allegations of fund mismanagement and systemic corruption within DSS and CHRO.

Assistant Attorneys General (Relevant Divisions):

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Specific individuals managing DSS-related legal matters and public accountability.

Federal Oversight Bodies:

Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

Oversight of federal Medicaid funds and ensuring state compliance with program standards.

Xavier Becerra – Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Responsible for enforcing ADA compliance and addressing systemic Medicaid fund mismanagement at the federal level.

Rebecca Bond – Chief, Disability Rights Section, DOJ Civil Rights Division

Responsible for ADA compliance enforcement and systemic civil rights investigations.

Connecticut State Leaders:

Governor Ned Lamont – Governor of Connecticut

Holds ultimate accountability for state agencies' performance, including DSS and CHRO.

Would you like detailed responsibilities or further expansion on their roles in the allegations?



Here's an expanded list of individuals and entities involved in **Gross Waste of Funds** allegations:

State-Level Officials and Entities

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

Andrea Barton Reeves – Commissioner, DSS

Dr. Deidre S. Gifford – Former Commissioner, DSS

DSS Medicaid Directors and Financial Managers (Names Unreleased):

Program-level administrators responsible for Medicaid fund management and reporting.

Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM):

Jeffrey Beckham – Secretary, OPM

Oversees state budget management, including DSS allocations, and ensures compliance with fiscal policies.

Connecticut General Assembly (CGA):

Matthew Ritter – Speaker of the House

Has legislative oversight over budgetary matters related to Medicaid.

Martin M. Looney – Senate President

Responsible for approving state Medicaid budgets and reforms.

State Auditors:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Rob Kane and John C. Geragosian – State Auditors

Tasked with auditing state agencies for financial mismanagement, including Medicaid funds.

Connecticut State Comptroller's Office:

Sean Scanlon – State Comptroller

Monitors DSS Medicaid-related expenditures and financial reporting.

Federal-Level Officials and Entities

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS):

Chiquita Brooks-LaSure – Administrator, CMS

Regional CMS Directors for New England (Names Pending):

Direct oversight of Connecticut's Medicaid program compliance.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS):

Xavier Becerra – Secretary, HHS

Regional HHS Directors (Region I):

Responsible for monitoring Medicaid mismanagement in Connecticut.

U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO):

Gene L. Dodaro – Comptroller General of the United States

Leads federal reviews of wasteful practices involving Medicaid funds.

Office of the Inspector General (OIG), HHS:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Christi A. Grimm – Inspector General, HHS

Conducts investigations into Medicaid fraud, waste, and abuse.

Advocacy and Oversight Organizations

National Disability Rights Network (NDRN):

Curtis Decker – Executive Director

Ensures advocacy for fair Medicaid fund usage for individuals with disabilities.

Connecticut Legal Rights Project (CLRP):

Kathy Flaherty – Executive Director

Focuses on systemic issues impacting Medicaid beneficiaries, including wasteful practices.

Other Relevant Actors

Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI):

Criminal Division: Public Corruption Unit

Investigates allegations of systemic corruption and financial mismanagement in state agencies.

Whistleblower Protection Agencies:

Henry J. Kerner – Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC)

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



Enforces whistleblower protection for those reporting waste or mismanagement.

Connecticut Watchdog and Transparency Entities:

Connecticut Freedom of Information Commission (FOIC):

Reviews transparency issues tied to Medicaid fund disclosure.



2. What Action Did They Take?

The individuals and entities listed above engaged in actions or failed to act in ways that facilitated or perpetuated **gross waste of public funds**. Specifically:

Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS):

1. Improper Use of Medicaid Funds:

- Failed to allocate Medicaid funds appropriately within the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) Waiver Program. Funds intended for critical care were mismanaged, diverted, or left unaccounted for.
- Neglected oversight of contracts and services provided to vulnerable populations, resulting in inefficiencies, waste, and potentially fraudulent activity.

2. Inadequate Financial Reporting:

- Deliberately delayed or withheld accurate financial reports related to Medicaid expenditures, violating transparency and federal compliance obligations.

3. Failure to Conduct Internal Audits:

- Ignored requirements to investigate and audit financial practices within the ABI Waiver Program and other DSS-administered Medicaid services.

Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM):

1. Approval of Questionable Allocations:

Constitutional rights, ADA compliance, whistleblower protections, systemic reform, transparency enforcement, public accountability, equal protection, due process, disability rights, government oversight, federal investigations, structural reform, equitable access, taxpayer protections, restorative justice, civil rights violations, systemic discrimination, federal-state compliance, Medicaid accountability, procedural justice, anti-discrimination statutes. DB.42.131.Inf. DOGE



- Authorized state budgets and Medicaid expenditures without proper accountability mechanisms to track the use of funds.

2. Failure to Address Known Inefficiencies:

- Despite financial distress and known concerns about Medicaid mismanagement, OPM failed to implement corrective measures to reduce waste or increase oversight.

Connecticut General Assembly (CGA):

1. Inadequate Legislative Oversight:

- Failed to hold DSS accountable during budget reviews or Medicaid oversight hearings, enabling wasteful practices to continue unchecked.

2. Refusal to Investigate Complaints:

- Ignored whistleblower reports and advocacy efforts highlighting systemic Medicaid mismanagement and wasteful spending.

State Comptroller's Office:

1. Failure to Monitor Spending:

- Did not ensure proper documentation or auditing of Medicaid disbursements, including those related to the ABI Waiver Program.

2. Neglect of Transparency Requirements:

